



Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/199,933	11/25/98	BURT		K	1002-124B
008698 STANDLEY # 4	CILODEOT LLD	PM82/0409		EXAMINER	
STANDLEY & GILCREST LLP 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH SUITE 210				LAGMAN ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN OH 4:	3017		·	3673 DATE MAILED:	12
			•		04/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No. 09/199,933 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Advisory Action

Group Art Unit

3673



Frederick L. Lagman THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a) |X| expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Mar 12, 2001 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: ☐ The proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. will not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): would be allowable if submitted in a Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As long as the gap 29 of Lewis is maintained in its proper orientation, the modification of providing a flange member extending forwardly at a forth angle then levelling to being parallel to the proximal portion (continued at other) The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |X| For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-20 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Other as shown by Lamp would not drastically alter would not drastically alter Lewis.

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600